

# **Liquefied Natural Gas Ltd**

Stonepeak increases its involvement

Stonepeak has committed to fund the full equity component of financing the Magnolia project, totaling US\$1.5bn. The terms of the agreement have changed, and Stonepeak will be taking a preferred interest in the project, leaving LNGL with a 100% equity ownership. This is a vote of confidence for the project. The key remaining event for Magnolia will be the completion of binding tolling agreements covering enough volumes to enable project sanction. All necessary regulatory milestones have been reached, leaving the company entirely focused on negotiations with potential partners to enable sanction of the 8mtpa project. Within its peer group, Magnolia is well placed to be able to deliver LNG cargoes in the 2021-2022 period, in time with projected demand needs. We have adjusted our valuation, increasing it to US\$4.19/ADR (A\$1.37 /share).

Year end	Revenues (US\$m)	PBT (US\$m)	Cash from operations (US\$m)	Net (debt) cash (US\$m)	Capex (\$USm)
6/15	6.1	(65.8)	(53.4)	35.8	(8.9)
6/16	5.6	(87.9)	(89.4)	51.3	(0.1)
6/17e	1.6	(27.4)	(21.2)	15.6	(0.3)
6/18e	44.3	10.0	17.8	28.4	(5.0)
					-

Source: Figures are as reported Note: 2018e revenues include back-costs from Stonepeak.

### LNGL has few peers, but looks inexpensive

LNGL has few listed peers. Most LNG export facilities are owned by majors. Cheniere is much larger and already producing LNG. Tellurian (owners of Driftwood LNG project) and Next Decade (IPO ongoing) are planning much larger projects but are some way behind the Magnolia project on regulatory approvals. As a result, the peer group is relatively limited, but does indicate that LNGL is comparatively cheap. It offers nearer term start-up than its two key peers and more advanced regulatory approvals (although we note Tellurian is backed by Total so has less uncertainty on an anchor offtaker, we think). We would expect LNGL's valuation discount to unwind as and when the company lists formally on a US exchange (a more natural home than the ASX) and especially when future tolling agreements are signed

### Offtake agreements are key

The assessments of LNG supply/demand point to an end to the global oversupply from 2021-2023. Magnolia is well placed to complete construction around this time, if tolling agreements can be signed in the coming months and if project sanction decisions are taken. We understand that management is focusing on getting a first agreement completed, after which it believes others will follow more easily.

### Valuation: Increases to US\$4.19/ADR (A\$1.37/share)

We have adjusted our valuation, rolling over the discount year and adjusting the terms of the Stonepeak funding, but reduced our risking for Bear Head. We keep our Magnolia assumptions on project revenues, costs and first production (mid-2022), as well as financing costs of debt (7.5%) and equity discount rate (10%). These combine to increase our NAV to US\$4.19/ADR (A\$1.37/share).

## ADR research

Peer comparison

Oil & Gas

#### 10 July 2017 **Price US\$1.98** US\$253m Market cap ADR/Ord conversion ratio 4 Net cash (US\$m) as at 31 37.9 March 2017 ADRs in issue 128m ADR code LNGLY US OTC ADR exchange Underlying exchange ASX Depository Deutsche

#### ADR share price performance



52-week high/low US\$2.98

#### **Business description**

Liquefied Natural Gas Ltd is an ASX-listed company devoted to the development of LNG export terminals in the US and Canada. It has traded ADRs.

Next events	
Binding offtake agreements signed	2017
Final investment decision (FID)	2017
Analyst	
Will Forbes	+44 (0)20 3077 5749
oilandgas@edisongrour	o com


Edison profile page


Liquefied Natural Gas is a research client of Edison Investment Research Limited



### Magnolia is well placed among peers and cheaper

Magnolia is one of many prospective projects looking to take advantage of the low-price US shale gas to export LNG. As seen below, the project is one of few that has received full FERC approval and non-FTA approval, allowing exports of LNG to global markets. This is a key milestone in attracting potential partners prepared to sign long-term contracts. The project is more advanced than many others in approvals (perhaps one to two years ahead, in some cases), so should be well placed to attract tolling partners.





Source: LNG Ltd, Edison Investment Research (any future dates - as indicated by dotted lines are Edison estimates)

Despite this advantage, LNGL appears to trade more cheaply than its (limited) peers. The table below shows that on an EV/mtpa basis, LNGL is the cheapest of the peer group. Accounting for expectations of first production, it is also the cheapest by some margin.

We note that the Tellurian/Driftwood project is backed by Total, which owns over 20% of the shares. We would expect Total to take material offtake volumes, thereby reducing the risks. This is perhaps one of the key reasons why the company is more expensively rated than LNGL. We anticipate some slippage to guidance given by Tellurian and Next Decade on first production, given the timing of approvals seen by other projects (from application to approval, for example, or from approval to the start of production).

Company			Capacity	FID expected	First production expected	Current EV/total expected mtpa	
	Projects	EV, US\$m				US\$m/ mtpa	EV/discounted capacity
LNG Ltd	Magnolia	201	8	2017/2018	2022	25	40
Tellurian	Driftwood	1,795	26	Mid-2018, company End 2019 based on other project progress	First production 2022, full capacity 2025*	69	111
Next Decade	Rio Grande	1,000#	27	2018, based on other projects progress	2024+	37	49
Cheniere	Sabine Pass Corpus Christi	37,031	4.5mtpa operating, 27mtpa under cons	truction	2016		

### Exhibit 2: Summary of Magnolia peers

Source: Edison Investment Research, various. Note: EV/discounted capacity is EV/ capacity discounted assuming the expected first production date. \*Denotes Tellurian expected timelines. \*Edison's current expectation of timing for Rio Grande. Tellurian also owns acreage in the UK and Australia that may be contributing to its valuation (this is unlikely to be more than US\$100m, we think). #Next Decade's EV is uncertain as it has not reached the market yet – the US\$10h is taken from press reports in April 2017.



While LNGL's shares are cheaper than peers, we would not be surprised to see the shares continue to trade on a bounded basis until tolling agreements are signed – at which point the (Magnolia) project would de-risk materially, leading to a potentially sharp re-rating. Our valuation asserts a project risking of 60%. For illustrative purposes, a 10% increase would lead to a rise in valuation of A\$0.2/share or US\$0.6/ADR.

Although the company has listed ADRs we would expect that its planned US listing (management continues to explore the possibility and a shareholder vote to move the US listing may occur as soon as November 2017) could contribute to a re-rating. The US is LNGL's natural home given Magnolia's location and peer group, and management has indicated that a number of interested investors cannot invest unless it is US-listed.

## Valuation

We have removed the Fisherman's Landing project from our model following the company's decision to discontinue the project. We had not attributed any valuation for this option given the hurdles the project faced. However, we have moved the risking we have applied to the Bear Head project given the focus on Magnolia and the lack of progress on Bear Head in recent months (from 20% to 15%). We also slightly increased the cash burn element in the period January to June 2017. These would have reduced the NAV/share.

However, we have moved the discount year to 2018 (given financial year end of June). We keep our assumptions of cost of debt of 7.5% and equity return rate of 10%. We have also substantially remodeled the financing element from Stonepeak given the changes in structure announced on 5 July 2017. Additionally, we have reshaped the debt modelling and increased cash burn to the end of June 2017. This increases the valuation to US\$4.19/ADR (A\$1.37/share) (from US\$3.84/ADR A\$1.26/share). Our current assessment of the valuation is below.

#### **Exhibit 3: Valuation summary**

Asset	Country	WI	CoS	Absolute	Risked	Risked
		%	%	US\$m	US\$/ADR	A\$/share
Net cash (June 2017e)				35	0.27	0.09
G&A (includes share based payments)				(55)	(0.43)	(0.14)
Project development costs Jun 2017 - July 2018				(15)	(0.12)	(0.04)
Magnolia Trains 1-4	United States	100%	60%	452	3.53	1.16
Bear Head Trains 1-4	United States	100%	15%	120	0.93	0.31
NAV				536	4.19	1.37

Source: Edison Investment Research. Note: Net cash figure includes short term investments of A\$25m (US\$19m).

## **Financials**

Management has done a good job of reducing cash burn until the project reaches sanction (when it will receive a payment in partial recognition of project development costs from Stonepeak– we assume in 2018). In the case where the company does reach sanction, it has stated it believes cash resources will last until early 2019. The project is dependent on successful coordination of the debt component by BNP, as the Stonepeak agreement should cover US\$1.5bn of the capex costs (of a total EPC contract quote of US\$4.354bn).

#### Stonepeak agreement

Stonepeak announced on 5 July 2017 that it has upped its involvement in the project to cover the full equity component of the capital investment (previously it was covering the equity component for the first 4mtpa in return for an equity component to gain a fixed IRR).



#### **Exhibit 4: Financial summary** Accounts: IFRS, Yr end: June, USD: Millions 2014A 2015A 2016A 2017E 2018E Total revenues 0 6 44 6 2 Cost of sales 0 0 0 0 (2) Gross profit 42 0 6 6 2 SG&A (expenses) (15) (9) (9) (3) (6) R&D costs 0 0 0 0 0 Other income/(expense) (15) (55) (68) (12) (15) Exceptionals and adjustments (11) (11) (0) (8) (8) Depreciation and amortization (0)(0) (0)(0) (0)Reported EBIT (88) 10 (18) (66) (28) Finance income/(expense) (1) 0 0 0 0 Other income/(expense) 0 0 0 0 0 Exceptionals and adjustments 0 0 0 0 0 Reported PBT (19) (66) (88) (27) 10 Income tax expense (includes exceptionals) 0 (0) 0 (0) 0 Reported net income (19) (66) (88) (28) 10 512 Basic average number of shares, m 334 503 512 464 (0.6) Basic EPS 0.0 (0.7) (0.2) 0.1 Balance sheet Property, plant and equipment 0 9 9 9 14 0 0 0 Goodwill 0 0 Intangible assets 0 0 0 0 0 Other non-current assets 0 0 0 0 0 Total non-current assets 0 9 9 9 14 51 110 Cash and equivalents 36 36 16 Inventories 0 0 0 0 0 Trade and other receivables 2 0 1 1 1 Other current assets 103 19 19 3 4 Total current assets 55 130 39 141 36 Non-current loans and borrowings 0 0 0 0 82 Other non-current liabilities 0 0 0 0 0 Total non-current liabilities 0 0 0 82 0 Trade and other payables 3 11 2 2 2 0 Current loans and borrowings 0 0 0 0 Other current liabilities 0 0 0 1 1 Total current liabilities 3 11 3 2 2 Equity attributable to company 62 60 36 139 43 Non-controlling interest (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) Cashflow statement Profit for the year (19) (66) (28) 10 (88) Depreciation and amortization 0 0 0 0 0 Share based payments 0 11 11 8 8 Other adjustments 0 (6) (5) (2) 1 Movements in working capital (7) 0 2 7 0 Interest paid / received 0 0 0 0 0 Income taxes paid 0 0 0 0 0 Cash from operations (CFO) (89) (21) 18 (17) (53) Capex (0) (9) (0) (0) (5) Acquisitions & disposals net 0 0 0 0 0 Other investing activities (1) (101) 100 (15) 0 (109) Cash used in investing activities (CFIA) (2) 100 (16) (5) Net proceeds from issue of shares 54 156 0 0 1 Movements in debt 0 0 0 0 82 Other financing activities (0) (0) (0) (0) 0 Cash from financing activities (CFF) 156 Ó 0 54 82 Increase/(decrease) in cash and equivalents 94 35 (6) 10 (36) 0 Currency translation differences and other (0) 6 5 1 Cash and equivalents at end of period 36 36 51 16 110 51 Net (debt) cash 36 36 16 28 (36) Movement in net (debt) cash over period 35 (0) 15 13

Source: Edison Investment Research, company accounts



Edison is an investment research and advisory company, with offices in North America, Europe, the Middle East and AsiaPac. The heart of Edison is our world-renowned equity research platform and deep multi-sector expertise. At Edison Investment Research, our research is widely read by international investors, advisers and stakeholders. Edison Advisors leverages our core research platform to provide differentiated services including investor relations and strategic consulting. Edison is authorised and regulated by the <u>Financial Conduct Authority</u>. Edison Investment Research (NZ) Limited (Edison NZ) is the New Zealand subsidiary of Edison. Edison NZ is registered on the New Zealand Financial Service Providers Register (FSP number 247505) and is registered to provide wholesale and/or generic financial adviser services only. Edison Investment Research (NZ) Limited (Edison NZ) is the Australian subsidiary of Edison. Edison RC 247505) and is registered to provide wholesale and/or generic financial adviser services only. Edison Investment Research (NZ) is the Australian subsidiary of Edison. Edison RC 247505) and is registered commission. Edison Investment Research Pty Limited (Edison Aus) [46085869] is the Australian subsidiary of Edison. Edison Germany is a branch entity of Edison Investment Research Limited [479424]. www.edisongroup.com

DISCLAIMER

Copyright 2017 Edison Investment Research Limited. All rights reserved. This report has been commissioned by Liquefied Natural Gas Ltd and prepared and issued by Edison for publication globally. All information used in the publication of this report. Opinions contained in this report represent those of the research area yor be eligible for state in all jurisdicitons or to certain categories of investment. These entities described in the Investment Research may not be eligible for state in all jurisdicitons or to certain categories of investment. The securities and Exchange Commission. Edison US relies upon the "publichers" exclusion" from the definition of investment adviser under Section 202(a)(11) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and corresponding state securities and Exchange Commission. Edison US relies upon the "publichers" exclusion" from the definition of investment adviser under Section 202(a)(11) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and corresponding state securities and Exchange Commission. Edison US relies upon the "publichers" exclusion" from the definition of investment adviser under Section 202(a)(11) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and corresponding state securities laws. As such, Edison does not offer or provide personalised advice. We publish information about companies in which we believe our readers may be interested and this information provided by us should not be construed by any subscriber or prospective subscriber as Edisons solicitation to effect, or attempt to effect, any transaction in a security. The research in this document is indevided by laws should not be construed by any subcriber or prospective subscriber as Edison solicitation in any securities mentioned or in the topic of this document. This document is provided for information purposes only and should not be construed by any subcriber or prospective subscriber as Edison solicitation or investment to severate and and securities mentioned or in the topic of this document. This document is provided for informati

Frankfurt +49 (0)69 78 8076 960 Schumannstrasse 34b 60325 Frankfurt Germany London +44 (0)20 3077 5700 280 High Holborn London, WC1V 7EE United Kingdom New York +1 646 653 7026 295 Madison Avenue, 18th Floor 10017, New York US Sydney +61 (0)2 8249 8342 Level 12, Office 1205 95 Pitt Street, Sydney NSW 2000, Australia